UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,964	05/09/2007	Jeffrey D. Edwards	81443-001US0	9819
	7590 12/23/201 HT TREMAINE, LLP/	EXAMINER		
1201 Third Ave	enue, Suite 2200	CAMPBELL, VICTORIA P		
SEATTLE, WA 98101-3045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

seapatentdocket@dwt.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,964	EDWARDS, JEFFREY D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VICTORIA P. CAMPBELL	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 .</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	awn from consideration.  and 33-39 is/are rejected.	oplication.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanied and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Some ction is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/595,964 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the initial Office Action based on the 10/595964 application filed May 9, 2007. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 16-20, 23-27, 29, 30, and 33-39 as amended and newly presented June 25, 2010 are currently pending and considered below.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 25, 2010 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 16-20, 23-27, 29, 30, and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding independent claims 1, 19, and 34, the limitation "each said active electromagnetic field portion including an electromagnetic field packet having a plurality of generally rectangular magnetic field pulses having a duration of between 25us and

Application/Control Number: 10/595,964

Art Unit: 3763

100ms delivered at a frequency of between 1 Hz and 100 Hz" is unclear. With the successive listing of portions contained within portions, the examiner can not discern which element(s) have a duration of between 25us and 100ms and which element(s) are delivered at a frequency of between 1 Hz and 100 Hz. As best understood by the examiner by giving the claim its most literal reading, both the duration limitation and the frequency limitation are intended to refer to the "generally rectangular magnetic field pulses."

Page 3

- 5. Claims 1, 19, and 34 recite the limitation "the inactive field portion" in the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner is unsure as to whether the "inactive field portion" is the same or different from the "inactive electromagnetic field portion" or whether it is a part of the electromagnetic field packet or refers to a pulse portion.
- 6. Claims 3, 12, 20, and 29 recite the limitation "the energisation signal packet" in the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 29 is dependent upon a cancelled claim.
- 8. Claims 2, 6-10, 13, 16-18, 23-27, 30, 33, and 35-39 are rejected for their dependency upon a rejected base claim.
- 9. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

10. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 12, 13, 16-20, 23-27, 29, 30, and 33-39 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,964 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Based on the interpretation of the phrase from claims 1, 19, 34 listed above, the examiner notes that the specification does not provide support for the limitation of the pulses being delivered at a frequency of between 10 and 100 Hz. The instant specification seems to only support the packets being delivered at the stated frequency, as shown on Page 7, lines 27-34.

11. Claims 2, 3, 6-10, 12, 13, 16-18, 20, 23-27, 29, 30, 33, and 35-39 are rejected for their dependency upon a rejected base claim.

## Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. The examiner notes that, upon removal of the new matter from the claims of the application, the examiner will return to the original art and grounds of rejection presented in the Final Rejection dated December 8, 2009 and will address any pertinent arguments at that time.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,964 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTORIA P. CAMPBELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7-3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victoria P Campbell Examiner, AU 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763